

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,438	07/18/2003	Steven W. Trovinger	100110105-1	1722	
22879 7	7590 09/08/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			BLAKE, CAROLYN T		
P O BOX 2724	100, 3404 E. HARMON	TY ROAD			
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EODT COLL D	NS CO 80527 2400		2724		

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/621,438	TROVINGER, STEVEN W.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Carolyn T. Blake	3724	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carolyn T. Blake	3724	sko
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		·	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follot places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completellowing time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. Mr The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because
(a)⊠ They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	icated alaims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	• • •	ampliant Amendment	(DTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendm	ent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s).	nowable if subtricted in a separate	, timely filed afficient	ent cancelling
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,7,8,10-12,14,15,20 and 26</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2-5,9,16,17 and	<u>21-24</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	:hed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	)	
13. Other:	(12	2-	

BOYER D. ASHLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new imitation to the independent claims stating rotation and translation of the drive roller is directly caused by rotation of the drive shaft requires further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: While differences exist between the prior art of record and Applicant's invention, these differences were not set forth in the finally rejected independent claims. While the proposed amendment may be sufficient to overcome the rejection, this amendment will require further consideration by the examiner.